

HaskellFL: A Tool for Detecting Logical Errors in Haskell

Vanessa Vasconcelos Mariza Bigonha

Motivation

Functional Programming



Functional Programming

- Functional Programming is building software via:
 - Function composition: create new functions by composing others
 - Pure functions: every time it is called, it produces the same result
 - No shared state: no global values
 - Limited side effects: limited iteration with external world
 - Immutability: once a variable is created, its value cannot be changed



Logical Errors

 Logical errors: they do not cause the program to crash or simply not work at all, they cause it to return a wrong output





Problem Definition

Problem Definition

- Challenges in understanding and taking advantage of the functional paradigm
- Much time spent at debugging



Why Haskell

• Purely functional language

add a b = a + b

- Pure functions: Haskell, calling add with the same a and b will always return the same value
- Impure functions: C++, moveX modifies pos state

```
class Pos {
    private:
        int x;
        int y;
    public:
        Pos(int x, int y) {
            this->x = x;
            this->y = y;
        }
        void moveX(int inc) {
            this->x = this->x + inc;
        }
};
```

Pos pos = Pos(0,0);
pos.moveX(1); // 1 0
pos.moveX(1); // 2 0
pos.moveX(1); // 3 0



Why Haskell

Haskell

- Used in functional programming introductory classes
- Several companies use Haskell in internal products or research



Goals

Goals

- Project and implement a tool, containing a Haskell interpreter for a subset of Haskell 2010 grammar
- Implement two fault localization techniques
- Build a Haskell test suite covering the chosen Haskell grammar's subset



Haskell Grammar Subset

HaskellFL Grammar Subset

- In: functions, case, if then else, guards, pattern matching, abstract data types, let and where, lambda function
- Out: do notation, list comprehension, type declaration

type PhoneBook = [(String,String)]



HaskellFL Grammar Subset

<pre>data TriangleType = Equilateral Isosceles Scalene Illegal deriving (Eq, Show)</pre>	<pre>describeList :: [a] -> String describeList xs = "The list is " ++ case xs of [] -> "empty."</pre>
<pre>filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a] filter _ [] = [] filter f (x:xs)</pre>	<pre>nub :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] nub [] = [] nub (x:xs) = x : nub (filter (\y -> y /= x) xs)</pre>
<pre>quickSort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a] quickSort [] = [] quickSort (x:xs) = quickSort smaller ++ where smaller = filter (\y -> y <= x) x larger = filter (\y -> y > x) xs</pre>	[x] ++ quickSort larger ks s
	<pre>scanl :: (a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> [a] scanl f q (x:xs) = if xs == [] then [q] else q : (scanl f (f q x) xs)</pre>
<pre>foldl f z [] = z foldl f z (x:xs) = let</pre>	z' = f z x foldl f z' xs

Fault Localization

Example 1 - Mid

1.mod	ule Main where
2. m	id x y z = if y < z
3.	then if x < y
4.	then y
5.	else if x < z
6.	then y BUG
7.	else z
8.	else if x > y
9.	then y
10.	else if x > z
11.	then x
12.	else z

3	3	5	=	3
1	2	3	=	2
3	2	1	=	2
5	5	5	=	5
5	3	4	=	4
2	1	3	=	1 🗶
	3 1 3 5 5 2	 3 3 1 2 3 2 5 5 3 2 1 	335123321555534213	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Test cases/Lines	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	P/F
$3\ 3\ 5$													P
$1 \ 2 \ 3$													P
$3\ 2\ 1$		•						•					P
5 5 5		•						•		•		•	P
$5\ 3\ 4$		•	•		•								P
$2\ 1\ 3$			•		•								F



Methods

- Tarantula: entities that are primarily executed by failed test cases are more likely to be faulty than those primarily executed by passed test cases
- Ochiai: coefficient known from the biology domain, it is more sensitive to potential fault locations in failed runs than to activity in passed runs

$$Tarantula(s) = \frac{\frac{failed(s)}{totalfailed}}{\frac{failed(s)}{totalfailed} + \frac{passed(s)}{totalpassed}} \qquad Ochiai(s) = \frac{failed(s)}{\sqrt{totalfailed(failed(s) + passed(s))}}$$



Example 1 - Mid



$$totalfailed = 1$$

$$totalpassed = 5$$

$$failed(6) = 1$$
 $passed(6) = 1$

$$Ochiai(6) = \frac{failed(6)}{\sqrt{totalfailed(failed(6) + passed(6))}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.71$$

$$Tarantula(6) = \frac{\frac{failed(6)}{totalfailed}}{\frac{failed(6)}{totalfailed} + \frac{passed(6)}{totalpassed}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{5}} = \frac{5}{6} \approx 0.83$$

HaskellFL

HaskellFL Architecture





HaskellFL Output



Ranked List

Demo







Test Suite

Test Suite

- 24 problems
- Submissions from students in the Functional Programming class at UFMG
- Two versions of mid function

Haskell developers who will help teach

you new techniques and tricks.

• Submissions for Exercism's Haskell track available on GitHub



enjoyed learning and improving their

skills by taking this track.

Hundreds of hours have gone into making these exercises fun, useful, and challenging to help you enjoy learning.



Test Suite

Drogram	#Tosts	Ranking			
Frogram	# lests	Tarantula	Ochiai		
mid (Version 1)	6	5	2		
mid (Version 2)	6	1	1		
dropWhileClone	10	3	1		
dropWhile	9	1	1		
break (Version 1)	5	1	1		
break (Version 2)	8	1	1		
toTuples	10	1	1		
remdupsReducer	7	1	1		
joinr	12	1	1		
separateTuplesByType	7	1	1		
flip	5	1	1		
unzip	3	1	1		
$\max Sum Length$	11	1	1		
binary-search-tree	8	2	2		
grade-school	7	1	1		
luhn	6	2	2		
raindrops	8	1	1		
resistor-color-duo	7	1	1		
robot-simulator	9	1	1		
roman-numerals	8	1	1		
simple-linked-list	6	1	1		
space-age	7	1	1		
sum-of-multiples	7	3	1		
triangle	8	6	5		



Results

Results - EXAM Score

Indicates the percentage of program elements that a developer

would have to inspect until finding the bug



$$OchaiBest = \frac{1}{10} = 10\%$$

$$OchaiWorst = \frac{2}{10} = 20\%$$



Results - EXAM Score

 Indicates the percentage of program elements that a developer would have to inspect until finding the bug

EXAM Score	Tarantula Best	Tarantula Worst	Ochiai Best	Ochiai Worst
(0-4.9)%	58.33%	33.33%	66.67%	33.33%
(5-9.9)%	25.00%	20.83%	16.67%	20.83%
(10-14.9)%	8.33%	12.50%	12.50%	16.67%
(15-19.9)%	4.17%	8.33%	4.17%	16.67%
(20-24.9)%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	4.17%
(25-29.9)%	0.00%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%
(30-34.9)%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
(35-39.9)%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.17%
(40-44.9)%	4.17%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%
(45-49.9)%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
(50-54.9)%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.17%
(55-59.9)%	0.00%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%



Results





Conclusion

Contributions

- We created an interpreter for a Haskell grammar subset
- HaskellFL tool and our test suite are available as an open source project at https://github.com/VanessaCristiny/HaskellFL
- HaskellFL located the errors using Tarantula and Ochiai methods examining very few lines for the majority of our test suite
- Our results showed that Ochiai presented better results than Tarantula



Future Work

- Extend the grammar to include do notation and list comprehensions
- Implement mutation-based fault localization algorithms
- Actually repair the code





Q&A