



# Analyzing the Effects of Refactorings on Bad Smells

# Cleiton Silva Tavares Advisor: Mariza Bigonha Co-Advisor: Eduardo Figueiredo

March 31th, 2021

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP)

http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/



- Introduction
- Systematic Literature Review
- Empirical Study
- Comparative Analysis
- Conclusion

#### Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

#### **Motivation**

0

• A software system is in the process of constant change and evolution

Cliente - Cliente\_ID : Int - Nome : String - Telefone : String - Endereco : String - DataNascimento : Datetime + AdicionaCliente(); + AtualizaCliente();

Complex over time



#### **Motivation**

- A software system is in the process of constant change and evolution
  - Great effort to understand and make modifications in the source code
- Bad Smell
  - Problems in the code structure



#### **Motivation**

- A software system is in the process of constant change and evolution
- Bad Smell
  - Problems in the code structure
- Refactoring
  - Increase the maintainability of the code by changing its internal structure without changing its behavior



#### **Problem Statement**

- Refactoring is a tricky activity
  - Identify the code fragment to refactor
  - Operation that will solve it
  - Where allocate the refactored code
- Some studies show that refactoring may introduce new bad smells into the source code



#### **Proposed Work**

- Research study to evaluate refactoring operations' impact on bad smells
  - Systematic Literature Review (SLR)
  - Empirical Study



### **Study Steps**



Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

<sup>8</sup> 



Programming Language Laboratory (LLP)

http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

#### **SLR Goal**

• Find a direct relationship between the bad smell and the refactoring proposed in the Fowler's catalog



#### **SLR Research Questions**

• RQ1 - Which relationships between refactoring and bad smells are the literature explicitly discussing?

• RQ2 - Which tools found the papers perform refactoring from bad smell detection?

#### **SLR Research Questions**

- RQ1 Which relationships between refactoring and bad smells are the literature explicitly discussing?
  - RQ1.1 Which are the most mentioned relationships between bad smells and refactoring found in the literature?
  - RQ1.2 Do relationships we found are different from those that Fowler presents?

## Answering RQ1

• RQ1 - Which relationships between refactoring and bad smells are the literature explicitly discussing?

- 20 Papers found
- 22 Fowler's Bad Smells
  - 16 different bad smells 73%
- 72 Fowler's Refactorings
  - 31 different refactorings 43%

## **Answering RQ1.1**

- RQ1.1 Which are the most mentioned relationships between bad smells and refactoring found in the literature?
  - Relationship being discussed by the largest number of different studies
    - Move Method and Feature Envy presented by 14 different papers
  - Refactoring operation that relates the largest amount of bad smells
    - Move Method being relate to 11 types of smells
  - Bad smell related with the highest number of refactorings
    - **Long Method** related to 13 refactorings



	Bad Smell	Bad Smell Refactoring		Literature
	Data Class	Encapsulate Collection	•	•
		Encapsulate Field	•	•
		Extract Method	•	•
		Hide Method	•	•
		Move Method	•	•
		Remove Setting Method	•	•
	Data Clumps	Extract Class	•	
		Introduce Parameter Object	•	
		Preserve Whole Object	•	
		Extract Class	•	•
		Extract Method		•
	Divergent Change	Extract Superclass		•
	Divergent Change	Move Field		•
		Move Method		•
		Pull Up Method		•

### **Answering RQ1.2**

• RQ1.2 - Do relationships we found are different from those that Fowler

	Bad Smell Refactoring		Fowler	Literature
Г	Data Class	Encapsulate Collection	•	•
		Encapsulate Field	•	•
Б		Extract Method	•	•
	ata Olass	Hide Method	•	•
		Move Method	•	•
		Remove Setting Method	•	•
	Data Clumps	Extract Class	•	
D		Introduce Parameter Object	•	
		Preserve Whole Object	•	
Г		Extract Class	•	•
		Extract Method		•
Б	ivercent Change	Extract Superclass		•
D	Divergent Change	Move Field		•
		Move Method		•
		Pull Up Method		•



	Bad Smell	nell Refactoring I		Literature
ĺ		Encapsulate Collection	•	•
		Encapsulate Field	•	•
	Data Class	Extract Method	•	•
	Data Class	Hide Method	•	•
		Move Method	•	•
		Remove Setting Method	•	•
	Data Clumps	Extract Class	•	
		Introduce Parameter Object	•	
		Preserve Whole Object	•	
	Divergent Change	Extract Class	•	•
		Extract Method		•
		Extract Superclass		•
		Move Field		•
		Move Method		•
		Pull Up Method		•



Bad Smell	Refactoring	Fowler	Literature
	Encapsulate Collection	•	•
	Encapsulate Field	•	•
Data Class	Extract Method	•	•
Data Class	Hide Method	•	•
	Move Method	•	•
	Remove Setting Method	•	•
	Extract Class	•	
Data Clumps	Introduce Parameter Object	•	
	Preserve Whole Object	•	
	Extract Class	•	•
	Extract Method		•
Divergent Change	Extract Superclass		•
Divergent Change	Move Field		•
	Move Method		•
	Pull Up Method		•



presents?

Bad Smell	Bad Smell Refactoring		Literature
	Encapsulate Collection	•	•
	Encapsulate Field	•	•
Data Class	Extract Method	•	•
Data Class	Hide Method	•	•
	Move Method	•	•
	Remove Setting Method	•	•
Data Clumps	Extract Class	•	
	Introduce Parameter Object	•	
	Preserve Whole Object	•	
	Extract Class	•	•
	Extract Method		•
Divergent Change	Extract Superclass		•
Divergent Change	Move Field		•
	Move Method		•
	Pull Up Method		•

24 relationships not addressed in Fowler's catalog

## **Answering RQ2**

• RQ2 - Which tools found the papers perform refactoring from bad smell detection?

Tool [Ref.]	$\mathbf{GUI}$	FRA	ONL	PLG	FRE	OPS	USG	$\mathbf{SL}$
Extract Method Detector [46]	Yes	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Java
JDeodorant $[8; 17; 65]$	Yes	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Java
JMove $[64]$	Yes	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
Liu's Approach [44]	-	-		-	-	-	-,	-
Methodbook [9]	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	Java
MMRUC3 [63]	-	Yes	-	-	-		-	Java
Tsantalis's Methodology [79]	-	-	-	-1	-1	-	-	Java

**GUI**: graphical user interface; **FRA**: framework; **ONL**: online; **PLG**: plugin; **FRE**: free for use; **OPS**: open-source; **USG**: user guide available; **SL**: supported language; "-": information not available.

#### **SLR Final Remarks**

- 20 different papers that show the direct relationship
  - 31 refactoring types and 16 bad smells proposed by Fowler
- The most discussed relationship in the literature
  - Move Method and Feature Envy
- 16 papers mentioned the tools used
  - 07 perform refactoring from bad smell detection

#### **SLR Final Remarks / Implication**

- Most strategies defined in the Fowler's book were addressed in the literature
- There are different refactoring strategies than those discussed by Fowler to address bad smells
  - Empirical studies to validate the new relationships found



#### Programming Language Laboratory (LLP)

http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

Software Engineering Lab (LabSoft) http://labsoft.dcc.ufmg.br/

23

#### **Empirical Study Goal**

• Conduct empirical research to assess the impacts of automated refactoring on detecting bad smells



### **Empirical Study Research Question**

- RQ What are the impacts of automated refactoring on the detection of bad smells?
  - RQ1 Does the automated refactoring process remove bad smells?
  - RQ2 Does the automated refactoring process introduce bad smells?





Squirrel\_sql 3.1.2



Organic











#### **Comparative Analysis Perspectives**





• RQ1.1 - Does the automated refactoring process remove bad smells?

Refactoring	Bad Smell	% of system		
	Feature Envy	12.5 %		
Extract Class	Lazy Class	12.5 %		
	Long Parameter List	12.5 %		
	Long Method	50.0 %		
Extract Method	Refused Bequest	12.5 %		
	Feature Envy	62.5 %		
Move Method	Long Method	12.5 %		
Replace Refactoring	-	-		



• RQ1.2 - Does the automated refactoring process introduce bad smells?

Refactoring	Bad Smell	% of system	
	Feature Envy	37.5 %	
Extract Class	Lazy Class	12.5 %	
Extract Class	Long Method	25.0 %	
	Long Parameter List	37.5 %	
	Feature Envy	25.0 %	
Extract Method	Lazy Class	12.5 %	
	Long Parameter List	25.0 %	
Move Method	-	-	
Replace Refactoring	Refused Bequest	25.0 %	



• RQ - What are the impacts of automated refactoring on the detection of

bad smells?

Refactoring	Decrease	Increase	Neutral
Extract Class	3.75 %	11.25 %	23.75 %
Extract Method	6.25 %	6.25 %	8.75 %
Move Method	7.50 %	0.00 %	25.00 %
Replace Refactoring	0.00 %	2.50 %	22.50 %

\* 80 situations

#### **Aggregated Analysis**



System	<b>Original Version</b>	Extract Class	Extract Method	Move Method	Replace Refactoring
Checkstyle-5.6	57	57	25	54	77
Quartz-1.8.3	26	27	-	25	66

37

#### **Aggregated Analysis**



#### **Empirical Study Final Remarks**

• Empirical research analyzing the impact of four refactorings on ten bad smells

• We present our results in four different perspectives

- Surprisingly, the number of decrease cases was the lowest compared to the others
  - Except in Move Method refactoring applied

### **Study Steps**



#### Programming Language Laboratory (LLP)

http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

Software Engineering Lab (LabSoft) http://labsoft.dcc.ufmg.br/

40





#### Extract Class





# Conclusion

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/ Software Engineering Lab (LabSoft) http://labsoft.dcc.ufmg.br/

45

#### Conclusion

- Systematic Literature Review (SLR)
  - 20 papers found
  - Relationships between 31 refactorings and 16 bad smells

- Empirical Study
  - Analyzed the effects of four refactorings on ten bad smells

• Comparative Analysis with the SLR and the Empirical Study

#### **Contributions**

• Catalog presenting the relationship between bad smells and refactoring discussed in the literature and a contrast with Fowler's catalog

• Catalog showing which bad smells tend to be introduced and removed by the automatic refactoring strategy



48

#### **Dissertation Research**



Tavares et al. Quantifying the Effects of Refactorings on Bad Smells. WTDSOFT 2020.

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

## ClbSE 2020

XXIII Congresso Ibero-Americano em Engenharia de Software

#### Systematic Literature Review (SLR)



Silva et al. Revisiting the bad smell and refactoring relationship: A systematic literature review. ESELAW 2020.

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/



#### **Empirical Study**



50

Tavares et al. Analyzing the impact of refactoring on bad smells. SBES 2020.

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

#### Implications

• Developers are better informed of which bad smells may be introduced or removed by the refactoring operation

• Perform the most efficient and robust refactoring tools so as not to introduce new bad smells in the source code

#### **Future Work**

- Investigate the new Fowler's catalog
  - Others refactorings and smells

- Conduct the Empirical Study with manually refactoring
  - Contrast with our automated refactoring







## **Thank You!**

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP) http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/







# Analyzing the Effects of Refactorings on Bad Smells

# Cleiton Silva Tavares Advisor: Mariza Bigonha Co-Advisor: Eduardo Figueiredo

March 31th, 2021

Programming Language Laboratory (LLP)

http://www.llp.dcc.ufmg.br/

#### **Extra Slide**

• Extract Class Introducing

Long Parameter List

**Original Version** 

**JHotDraw** 

JSheetProductRefactored.iava 🗊 JSheet.java 🖾 527 528 fireOptionSelected(pane, option, value, pane.getInputValue()); 529 530 5310 /\*\* \* Notify all listeners that have registered interest for 532 notification on this event type. The event instance 533 is lazily created using the parameters passed into 534 535 the fire method. 536 5370 protected void fireOptionSelected(JOptionPane pane, int option, Object value, Object inputValue) 538 SheetEvent sheetEvent = null: 539 // Guaranteed to return a non-null array Object[] listeners = listenerList.getListenerList(); 540 // Process the listeners last to first, notifying 541 542 // those that are interested in this event 543 for (int i = listeners.length - 2;  $i \ge 0$ ; i = 2) { 544 if (listeners[i] == SheetListener.class) { 545 // Lazily create the event: if (sheetEvent == null) { 546 547 sheetEvent = new SheetEvent(this, pane, option, value, inputValue); 548 ((SheetListener) listeners[i + 1]).optionSelected(sheetEvent); 549 550 551 552 553 5540 555 \* Notify all listeners that have registered interest for notification on this event type. The event instance 556 557 is lazily created using the parameters passed into 558 \* the fire method. \*/ 559 560 protected void fireOptionSelected(JFileChooser pane, int option) { 561 SheetEvent sheetEvent = null; 562 // Guaranteed to return a non-null array Object[] listeners = listenerList.getListenerList(); 563 // Process the listeners last to first, notifying 564 // those that are interested in this event 565 566 for (int i = listeners.length - 2; i >= 0; i -= 2) { if (listeners[i] == SheetListener.class) { 567 568 // Lazily create the event:

#### **Extra Slide**

• Extract Class Introducing

Long Parameter List

#### **Refactored Version**

**JHotDraw** 

```
🞵 JSheetProductRefactored.java 🔀 🎵 JSheet.java
            listemerList.add(SheetListemer.class, 1);
18
19
 20
 210
         /**
         * Removes a sheet listener.
 22
 23
          */
        public void removeSheetListener(SheetListener 1) {
 240
 25
            listenerList.remove(SheetListener.class, 1);
 26
27
289
         /**
          * Notify all listeners that have registered interest for notification on this event type.
29
 30
310
        public void fireOptionSelected(JOptionPane pane, int option, Object value,
 32
                Object inputValue, JSheet jSheet) {
 33
            SheetEvent sheetEvent = null:
            Object[] listeners = listenerList.getListenerList();
 34
 35
            for (int i = listeners.length - 2; i >= 0; i -= 2) {
                if (listeners[i] == SheetListener.class) {
 36
37
                     if (sheetEvent == null) {
                         sheetEvent = new SheetEvent(jSheet, pane, option, value,
 38
 39
                                 inputValue);
 40
                     ((SheetListener) listeners[i + 1]).optionSelected(sheetEvent);
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
460
         /**
47
         * Notify all listeners that have registered interest for notification on this event type.
48
49⊝
         public void fireOptionSelected(JFileChooser pane, int option, JSheet jSheet) {
50
             SheetEvent sheetEvent = null;
            Object[] listeners = listenerList.getListenerList();
52
            for (int i = listeners.length - 2; i >= 0; i -= 2) {
                if (listeners[i] == SheetListener.class) {
53
54
                     if (sheetEvent == null) {
55
                         sheetEvent = new SheetEvent(jSheet, pane, option, null);
56
57
                     ((SheetListener) listeners[i + 1]).optionSelected(sheetEvent);
58
59
```